From: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US 4/4/2012 7:26:31 AM Sent: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA To: CC: Re: Fw: EXTERNAL: Re: Verification/Completeness Check for Dimock (R3 WO 1202005 PART 1 Posted Subject: 3:30 would be better..if possible robin From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US To: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA 04/03/2012 04:31 PM Date: Subject: Fw: EXTERNAL: Re: Verification/Completeness Check for Dimock (R3 WO 1202005 PART 1 Posted Mar 15) FYI - in case you have to send any responses these two folks need cc: and Ex. 4 - CBI hame removed. Are you available tomorrow afternoon for a data call with Kelley? Around 3:30 or 4pm? Cynthia Caporale, Chief **OASQA Laboratory Branch** U.S. EPA Region III **Environmental Science Center** Fort Meade, MD (410) 305-2732 Fax: (410) 305-3095 ---- Forwarded by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 04/03/2012 04:30 PM -----Ex. 4 - CBI @Imco.com> From: [____ Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA To: 04/03/2012 04:01 PM Date: Subject: RE: EXTERNAL: Re: Verification/Completeness Check for Dimock (R3 WO 1202005 PART 1 Posted Mar 15) Cindy, @Imco.com>) and [Ex. 4 - CBI] Please include Ex. 4 - CBI Ex. 4 - CBI @Imco.com)> in all future emails in my place. I will not @lmco.com) Ex. 4 - CBI be involved with this project for the next few weeks. Thank you, Ex. 4 - CBI LM SERAS From: Cynthia Caporale [Caporale.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2012 3:26 PM

DIM0122400 DIM0122400

10: <u>Ex. 4 - CBI</u>	
Cc: Ex. 4 - CBI Gary Newhart; John Gilbert; Kelley Chase; Ex. 4 - CBI Ed Messer; Fred Foreman; Rob	in
Costas; Stevie Wilding	
Subject: EXTERNAL: Re: Verification/Completeness Check for Dimock (R3 WO 1202005 PART 1 Posted Mar 15)	
_{⊑x.4-cBl} and Kelley,	

The report on the Dimock Verification/Completeness Check for file 1202005 FINAL Part 1 of 3 R33907 03 15 12 1429.pdf was reviewed and below are the responses for your consideration.

Please note that we (including QA Staff responsible for R3 Data Validation) disagree with the approach taken for Item #1. A response is provided and if further discussion is needed please let me know and we'll arrange a conference call.

File 1202005 FINAL PART 1 of 3 R33907 03 15 12 1429.pdf

1. Copper was found above the RL in FB18 collected on 2/15/12. FB16 collected on 2/13/12 and FB17 collected on 2/14/12 did not contain copper above the RL. Results for copper for sample HW07 should be qualified estimated "J". The remaining samples in batches BB21502 (HW27z-F, HW27-F, Hw27z and HW27) and BB21505 (HW59, HW11-P, HW53, HW53-P, HW57-P, HW59-F, HW11-PF, HW53-F, HW53-PF, HW57-PF and HW57) should not be qualified in the result column in Scribe even though "B" flags were assigned by the laboratory.

Response: Region 3 Data Validation Procedures include criteria for qualifying samples based on field blanks. According to Region 3 procedures results that are 5x or 10x below the amount found in a field or method blank are qualified "B." Since the "B" qualifier is not being used for this project, we highly recommend the quantitation limit be raised and qualified as "U." Retaining the value and qualifying "J" is not recommended for the data use involved with the project as it tends to cause confusion as to the presence of a compound or constituent when really the value was due to blank contamination.

2. The RPD for arsenic for sample HW27 (lab #1202005-08) exceeded the RPD criterion. Since the source result and the duplicate are within five times the RL and it is not possible to ascertain if the remaining samples in the batch are sufficiently similar, this reviewer agrees with the "J" qualifier applied to sample HW27 only. The "J" flag should be carried over into the Scribe result qualifier column.

Response: We Agree.

3. The MS recovery for sample HW53 (lab #1202005-14) exceeded the 70-130% criterion. Since it is not possible to ascertain if the remaining samples in the batch are sufficiently similar, this reviewer agrees with the "J" qualifier applied to sample HW53 only. The "J" flag should be carried over into the Scribe result qualifier column.

Response: We Agree.

4. The LCS recovery for tin for Batch BB22103 exceeded the 85-115% criterion. No additional qualifications are required since the samples were non-detect for tin in this batch.

Response: We Agree.

5. The RPD for nickel for sample HW03 (lab #1202005-34) exceeded the RPD criterion. Since the source result and the duplicate are within five times the RL and it is not possible to ascertain if the remaining samples in the batch are sufficiently similar, this reviewer agrees with the "J" qualifier applied to sample HW03 only. The "J" flag should be carried over into the Scribe result qualifier column.

Response: We Agree.

6. There were several metals that exceeded the secondary MCLs: Aluminum for HW57-PF; iron for HW57, HW03 and HW03z; and manganese for HW53, HW57, HW03, HW03-F, HW03z, HW03z-F and HW07

Response: No comment.

Cynthia Caporale, Chief OASQA Laboratory Branch U.S. EPA Region III

DIM0122400 DIM0122401

Environmental Science Center Fort Meade, MD (410) 305-2732

Fax: (410) 305-3095

-	From:		Ex. 4 - CBI	@Imco.d	com>			
-	To: Cy			PA/US@EPA, Kell		3/USEPA/US@	DEPA	
(Cc: Jol	hn Gilbert/CI/l	JSEPA/US@EF	PA, Gary Newhart/0	CÍ/USEPA/L	JS@EPA,	Ex. 4 - CBI	1
(Ex. 4 - C	BI Imco.c	om>,	Ex. 4 - CBI		@Imco.com>		_
Ī	Date: 0	03/21/2012 11	:29 AM					
;	Subject:	Verification	/Completeness	Check for Dimock	(R3 WO 12	02005 PART 1	Posted Mar 15	;)
_			-					
		is a	attached for you	r review and consid	deration.			
·	<u>.</u>							
L.,		СВІ						
	Lockheed N							
	Scientific, E	Engineering, F	Response and A	nalytical Services	(SERAS)			
		001						
	EX. 4	- CBI						
i	<u> </u>							
	[attachmer	nt "SERAS-17	2-DSR-032112 _.	_32.docx" deleted	by Cynthia (Caporale/ESC/	R3/USEPA/US]

DIM0122400 DIM0122402